

Proposal Title : 42-50 and 52-60 Railway Parade, Burwood Proposal Summary : The proposal seeks to increase the maximum building height and floor space ratio for 42-50 and 52-60 Railway Parade, Burwood to facilitate a mixed use development. PP Number : PP_2016_BURWO_005_00 16/16142 Dop File No : **Proposal Details** 16-Jan-2017 Date Planning LGA covered : Burwood Proposal Received : RPA : **Burwood Council** Region : Metro(CBD) Section of the Act : State Electorate : STRATHFIELD 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : 42-50 Railway Parade Suburb : Burwood City : Sydney Postcode : 2134 Land Parcel : Lot 1 DP 588368 Street : 52-60 Railway Parade Suburb : Burwood City : Sydney Postcode : 2134 Lot 16 DP 832440 Land Parcel : **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Ella Wilkinson** Contact Number : 0292742833 Contact Email : ella.wilkinson@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : Diwei Luo Contact Number : 0299119928 Contact Email : Diwei.Luo@burwood.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Karen Armstrong
Contact Number :	0292746512
Contact Email :	Karen.armstrong@planning.nsw.gov.au

42-50 and 52-60 Railw	ay Parade, Burwood		
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	1,050
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	1,950
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment ;	The Department of Planning and E communication and meetings with has not met any lobbyists in relati any meetings between other Depa	ו lobbyists has been complied on to this proposal, nor has tl	l with. Sydney Region East he Director been advised of
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	THE SITE AND CONTEXT The site is currently zoned B4 Mix comprises two Lots: - Lot 1 DP 588368, 42-50 Railway P maximum building height of 70 me - Lot 16 DP 832440, 52-60 Railway maximum building height of 60 me	Parade; approximately 7,755 se etres and FSR of 6:1; and Parade; approximately 6,632 s	quare metres, has a current
	The site is located within the area Middle Ring Area of the Burwood `	_	
	The site is currently occupied by E retail shopping mall that covers th ground car parking.		
	The site also contains a commerci car park on the western portion of		e) and an above ground
	The area located immediately north an existing mixed use developmen contains existing two storey shop-	it. The area to the east of the s	site along Burwood Road,
	The land to the west of the site is o and ground level Council car park.		School, Burwood Library
	Other development adjacent to the • 180-186 Burwood Road and 7-9 B development is under assessment comprising ground floor retail pod	urleigh Street - This site is we for the construction of a mixe	ed use development

• Burwood Central (121-133 Burwood Rd & 38-40 Railway Parade Burwood) - This site is immediately east of the subject site. A development has been approved for a 20 storey tower (68 residential apartments, 56 serviced apartments, 4 storey retail & commercial podium).

• B1 Square (27-31 Belmore Street) - This site is immediately south of the site. A development has been approved, comprising three 14 storey towers containing 222 residential apartments.

• Burwood Grand (39-47 Belmore Street, 11-19 Wynne Ave, 6-14 Conder Street) - This site is immediately south of the subject site. A development is under construction comprising three towers, part 11, 22 and 19 storeys with 490 residential apartments and 4036 square metres of commercial floor space.

ORIGINAL PROPOSAL

In May 2016, Burwood Council considered the original proposal which sought to increase the building height from 60 and 70 metres to 163 metres and increase the FSR from 4:1 and 6:1 to 10.5:1. Council commissioned an external assessment which found the proposal had insufficient justification for the scale of development proposed, would overshadow properties to the south and potentially impact on the development potential of other sites in the Burwood Town Centre. Detailed traffic modelling was also outstanding.

Council resolved to support the proposal if it was amended to reduce the overall FSR and the building height to comply with Council's building height plane, and further investigation of impacts on neighbouring heritage items and a comprehensive traffic assessment was undertaken.

In December 2016, a revised proposal and studies were lodged with Council and then submitted to the Department for assessment at the Gateway.

The Department of Planning and Environment reviewed the proposal and requested further information in regards to supporting documentation provided, prior to officially accepting the proposal. An email was returned by Burwood Council, dated 16 January 2017, outlining this requested information and the proposal was officially accepted on this date.

MIXED USE

These proposed controls would allow for a mixed use development comprised of a podium with four towers;

- Tower A: 42 Storeys
- Tower B: 38 Storeys
- Tower C: 30 Storeys
- Tower D: 20 Storeys

The proposed controls would provide for approx. 48,410 square metres of non-residential floor space and approx. 93,972 square metres of residential floor space.

The site's existing buildings provide approximately 28,256 square metres of non-residential floor space, including 12,363 square metres of lettable retail floor space and 15,893 square metres of commercial floor space.

Council is not seeking delegation to carry out the Minister's plan-making functions under section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the EP&A Act). Delegation is not considered appropriate for this matter.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objectives of the proposal seek to achieve the following:

• encouraging a mix of different and compatible land uses such as residential, retail and commercial, in a strategic and appropriate location;

• demonstrating consistency with the sustainable principles of a Transit Oriented Development;

• creating a vibrant precinct by promoting and encouraging ground level active street frontages;

• incorporating two large parcels of land into the development site, to enable a cohesive master planned development of an appropriate size with suitable provisions for easy access;

• creating a landmark development for the Centre, incorporating the principles of design excellence;

• providing opportunities to improve and introduce attractive, engaging and creative public domain spaces;

• providing numerous direct and tangible benefits to the public, which will improve access, transport, the local streetscape and the amenity of the locality;

• providing and dedicating (subject to further discussions with Council) affordable housing in a high demand area, which will ensure adequate long term rental accommodation is reserved for key workers;

• protecting and enhancing the existing surrounding environment by proposing a building envelope and form that will result in minimal to no adverse impacts, and will display world-class architectural design; and

• stimulating growth of the local economy and offering opportunities for enhanced prosperity.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The proposal seeks the following modifications to the provisions of the Burwood LEP 2012:

- amend the building height from 60 metres and 70 metres to 107 metres and 144 metres;
- amend the floor space ratio from 4.5:1 and 6:1 to 9.9:1;
- amend the maximum residential floor space from 2:1 and 3:1 to 6.53:1; and

• introduce a savings provision for the site to allow for a Development Application to be assessed concurrently with the proposal.

The proposal suggests these should be provided through a local provision for the site. In this instance, the building height and FSR maps will be amended to show 'Block 1' and 'Block 2', with reference to the proposed 'Part 6 - Additional local provision' 6.7 Development on land at 42-60 Railway Parade Burwood. The planning proposal reflects the inclusion of the height map outlining the local provision for the site, however will need to be updated to include an updated FSR map which also reflects the proposal.

The proposal includes a provision to facilitate a subsequent lodgement of a development application. However, given the changes that can be applied during legal drafting, the

42-50 and 52-60 Rail	way Parade, Burwood	
		t more appropriate for the intent of the clause to be outlined in a plain that outlines the intent of the local provision.
Justification - s55	5 (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's stra	tegy been agreed to by the [Director General? No
b) S.117 directions in	lentified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Direc	ctor General's agreement	 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Is the Director Ge	neral's agreement required?	
c) Consistent with Sta	andard Instrument (LEPs) Or	rder 2006 :
d) Which SEPPs hav	e the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes) SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		8
Have inconsistencies	with items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	The proposal is con	sidered to be consistent with all SEPPs and section 117 Directions.
Mapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	' No	
Comment :		has been provided with the proposal. Mapping prepared in E technical guidelines will be required for submission at s59 stage.
Community consu	ltation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consu	ultation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :		
		ovided an indicative project timeline with no completion. The rs a 12 month project timeline for completion is adequate.
Additional Director	r General's requiremen	ts
Are there any addition	al Director General's require	ments?
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of	of the proposal	
Does the proposal me	et the adequacy criteria?	
If No, comment :		

42-50 and 52-60 Railway Parade, Burwood

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : November 2012

Comments in relation **The Burwood LEP 2012 was notified in November 2012.** to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is the best way to amend the current height controls and achieve the redevelopment objectives for the site.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney including the objectives to Grow a more internationally competitive Sydney, growing strategic centres through jobs closer to home, delivering social infrastructure, improving housing choice and revitalise existing suburbs.
	The proposal will need to be updated to demonstrate consistency with the draft Central District Plan released on 21 November 2016. The draft District Plan identified Burwood as a District Centre due to the role the centre plays in providing between 5,000 to 10,000 jobs within the Central District.
	The proposal is also consistent with several goals of Council's Burwood 2030 Community Plan.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
	The subject site is located in an urban area and does not contain any known critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or habitats.
	The following environmental factors have been considered by the proposal:
	Aeronautical At the location of the site, the Sydney Outer Horizontal Surface Layer (OHS) is 156 metres above ground level and the Bankstown OHS is 160 metres. The Sydney PANS-OPS is 184.7 metres above ground level. The site lies outside of the Bankstown PANS-OPS surface layer.
	The original proposal (163 metres above ground level) was referred to the Sydney Airport Corporation Limited (SACL), Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) and Airservices Australia (AsA). Both CASA and AsA did not support the original proposal.
	The revised proposal at 144m (163.5m AHD) has not been referred to SACL, CASA or AsA. The Aeronautical Impact Assessment (AIA) outlines the proposal will penetrate the Sydney OHS permanently by 7.5 metres and the Bankstown OHS permanently by 3.5 metres. Cranes used during construction would temporarily penetrate the Sydney and Bankstown OHS by 25.5 and 21.5 metres respectively.
	As outlined in the AIA, the penetration of the Sydney OHS will require approval. Therefore, consultation with AsA, CASA and SACL, should be a condition of the Gateway, to clarify if the height proposed is acceptable for safe aircraft operations or if it should be reduced.
	Contamination The proposal is supported by a preliminary contamination study that concludes based on historical information regarding previous land uses that the site is likely to contain imported fill, grease traps and the likely presence of hazardous building materials. It is considered that the site can be made suitable for the proposed development subject to appropriate decommission and disposal of existing grease traps and detailed intrusive

investigation (including waste classification) following building demolition.

Traffic and Transport

The proposal is accompanied by 3 transport studies:

- Traffic Impact Assessment by Road Delay Solutions
- Traffic and Transport Opportunities and Constraints by AECOM
- Transport aspects of a planning proposal by Colston Budd Rogers and Kafes
 Pty Ltd

Traffic Impact Assessment

The original proposal was not supported by a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA), thus Council requested a TIA be undertaken in order to assess the traffic impacts associated and to recommend infrastructure upgrades in support of the proposed development.

The TIA concludes that impacts on the Burwood town centre are manageable if all proposed infrastructure outlined in Council's Section 94 Contributions Plan has been implemented and additional infrastructure is provided including widening of foot crossings, partial street closures and the introduction of traffic signals at 4 intersections.

Burwood Council has identified a series of road and transport works to accommodate future development in Burwood, including on the subject site. However, should the development proceed on the subject site, additional road works will be required to reduce its impact on the town centre.

Traffic and Transport Opportunities and Constraints

AECOM was also commissioned to undertake a strategic review of traffic and transport implications for the wider Burwood town centre. This study identifies numerous opportunities and constraints for the Burwood Town Centre road network, public transport and pedestrian perspectives. In a broad sense, Burwood is in close proximity or connected to major arterials, including Parramatta Road, the M4 and the future WestConnex. There are already high volumes of traffic on Parramatta Road and the M4 and their connectors. However, the Traffic and Transport Opportunities and Constraints outlines that the future development of Westconnex presents an opportunity to reduce this traffic and improve access to Parramatta, Sydney CBD and other centres, in line with the Parramatta Road Urban Transformation Strategy which comprises land along Parramatta Road to the north of the site.

As the proposal outlines significant increase in density on the site, it is appropriate for the Traffic Impact Assessment to be updated in consultation with RMS prior to public exhibition, to assess any impacts of the development and provide recommendations to mitigate these impacts. This has been included as a condition of the Gateway.

Public Transport

Burwood has strong connections to rail and bus services, which are subject to ongoing improvement programs. But its also acknowledged that the current rail services are currently operating at or over capacity.

Given the scale of the proposal and the complex traffic impacts associated with a mixed use development, consultation is required with Transport for NSW and Roads and Maritime Services to determine if all assumptions and associated impacts have been adequately considered.

Heritage

The site does not contain any heritage items. However, heritage listed items including the Burwood Council office (I47), and former School of Arts (I48) are immediately west of the site and the Masonic Temple (I8) to the south of the site.

The supporting heritage impact statement concludes the proposed development is an acceptable development. Although the tower buildings will have a visual impact on views to and from the adjacent heritage listed items, this impact is mitigated by the scale and bulk of the podium levels and other adjacent multi-level development. Consultation with

the Office of Environment and Heritage is recommended.

Local Infrastructure

Due to the significant uplift being sought on the site, the proposal is supported by a preliminary civil engineering investigations report. The study considers water, stormwater, sewerage, electricity, gas and telecommunications infrastructure and potential argumentation. The study concludes implementing standard engineering requirements, the concept design for the site appears feasible from an engineering perspective.

Visual Impact

Given the significant increase in building height being sought by the proposal, a comprehensive view analysis was prepared by Architectus to support the proposal. This visual assessment method addressed views from 36 public domain and private properties ranging from regional to local to immediate locations. Each view is rated by importance and impact. The majority of view impacts were rated as Low, 8 sites with moderate impacts and 1 with a high impact in the immediate vicinity of the site. No mitigation has been proposed to reduce this impact.

Overshadowing

The proposal does not include a comprehensive shadow analysis. The proposal states the location and orientation of the proposed towers maximises views, minimises overshadowing and maximises separation with the neighbouring tall building to the south of the site. Considering the significant building heights being sought by the proposal, almost double the current allowable building heights in the Burwood Town Centre for the Commercial Core and the Middle Ring, an overshadowing analysis of impacts on neighbouring sites is expected and has been included as a condition of Gateway.

ECONOMIC IMPACTS

Retail and employment

The proposal seeks to provide jobs close to homes and public transport by supplying approximately 48,410 square metres of retail and commercial floor space (approximately 3,150 jobs). This will allow a variety of different businesses to establish in the local area, providing a range of different employment opportunities for the local and surrounding community. However, the Economic Impact Assessment prepared as supplementary study to the planning proposal outlines the analysis "does not consider the potential for either the transfer of activity from existing Burwood LGA centres into the redeveloped site or the transfer of existing activity to alternative centres within the Burwood LGA as a result of the redevelopment". Given the size of the proposal, and the large amount of retail and commercial floor space proposed, it is considered appropriate that an Economic Impact Assessment be prepared to justify the proposed increase and demand for the proposal, address the effect of the development on the Burwood Town Centre and nearby centres, and demonstrate how this uplift will support the District Centre status of Burwood.

Housing

Approximately 1,050 apartments will be provided of a range of different sizes and layouts in a high demand and centrally located area of Sydney, in close proximity to public transportation and services. There are also opportunities for affordable housing, to be dedicated to Council or a local housing provider.

SOCIAL IMPACTS

Streetscape

The proposal will include additional open space, public plazas and pedestrian connections within and around the site.

Community Infrastructure

The proposal suggests it will provide a 'community heart' for the Centre of Burwood. The proposal lists public benefits including:

- · Community Centre/ Child Care/ Learning Centre;
- Option for Council Chambers and offices to be part of the new office

		t to Council land; and	
	 Performing arts venue with to Council. 	a capacity of up to 500 seats to be dedica	ated
	of public benefits through a Vo community infrastructure need	outlines the Proponent is willing to comn luntary Planning Agreement (VPA) to ens s arising as a result of the proposal. The I d between Council and the Proponent.	ure the delivery of
ssessment Proces	S		
Proposal type :		Community Consultation Period :	
Timeframe to make LEP :	0 months	Delegation :	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Department of Education and C Office of Environment and Herit Energy Australia Transport for NSW Department of Health Transport for NSW - Sydney Tra Transport for NSW - Roads and Sydney Water Other	ins	
s Public Hearing by the			
2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Yes		
f no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No		
f Yes, reasons :			
dentify any additional st	udies, if required. :	:*	
f Other, provide reasons			
dentify any internal cons	sultations, if required :		
lo internal consultatior	n required		
s the provision and fund	ing of state infrastructure relevant t	o this plan? No	
Yes, reasons :	The proposal will significantly increase the density of the site. Existing infrastructure servicing the site may not have the capacity to accommodate future development. It is expected that these services would be upgraded by the developer, where required, to support the proposed development. Utilities should be consulted as part of the Gateway determination.		
uments			
ocument File Name		DocumentType Name	la Dublia
ouncil Letter.pdf			Is Public
lanning Proposal - Bur	wood Place odf	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal	Yes
rchitectural Plans.pdf		Proposal Study	Yes Yes
-	nd Transport Opportunities and	Study	Yes

Economic Impact Asse	•	Study	Yes
	Heritage Impact Statement.pdf	Study	Yes
Preliminary Civil Invest		Study	Yes
Preliminary Contamina	tion Investigation.pdf	Study	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Retail Masterplan.pdf		Study	
	mpact Assessment.pdf	Study Study Study Study	
Survey Plans 1of2.pdf			
Survey Plans 2of2.pdf			
Traffic Impact Assessm	•		
Transport Aspects of p		Study	Yes
Visual Impact Assessm	ent.pdf	Study	Yes
nning Team Recom	mendation		
Preparation of the plann	ing proposal supported at this stage : R	ecommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones		
	2.3 Heritage Conservation		
	3.1 Residential Zones		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Trans	-	
	3.5 Development Near Licensed Ae	rodromes	
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils		
	7.1 Implementation of A Plan for G	rowing Sydney	
Additional Information	It is recommended that the plannin	g proposal proceed. subject to	the following
	conditions:	3 F··F···· F·····, ····]····	
	1. Prior to community consultation, the planning proposal is to be amended as follows;		
	(a) refer the proposal to Sydney Airport Corporation Limited (SACL), Bankstown Airport		
	Limited (BAL), Civil Aviation Safety		
	approval of the proposed height or		· · ·
	with airspace safety regulations;		
	(b) review the maximum height and	FSR in relation to:	
	i. feedback received from SACL, BA	L, CASA, and AsA;	
	ii. preparation of a supporting Urba	n Design Analysis, which asse	sses the impact of the
	development on neighbouring sites School, surrounding heritage items	and open/public spaces;	
	(c) update the transport study in co		· · ·
	address any impacts the proposal i	-	
	outline measures to mitigate these		
	planning proposal and any relevant comment on the proposal;		
	(d) update the Economic Impact As		
	for the proposal, address the effect		
	nearby centres, and demonstrate h		istrict Centre status of
	Burwood, as outlined in the draft C		
	(e) remove the draft local clause an	a replace with a plain English e	explanation of the
	proposal's provisions; (f) demonstrate consistency with th	e draft Central District Plan, rel	eased on
	21 November 2016; and (g) include an updated Floor Space	Ratio map, reflecting the propo	osed local provision.
	2. The planning proposal should be		-
	submitted to the Director, Sydney R		
	consultation under section 56(2)(c)	and 57 of the Act being underta	aken.
	3. A site specific Development Cont		
	Burwood Council officers. The Deve with the planning proposal.	elopment Control Plan is to be e	exhibited concurrently

42-50 and 52-60 Railwa	ay Parade, Burwood
	 (a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2016). 5. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions: Office of Environment and Heritage Roads and Maritime Services Transport for NSW Sydney Trains Sydney Water Energy Australia Ministry for Health
	 Department of Education Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may
	otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). 7. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 18 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	
Signature:	Karer Armskeng
Printed Name:	KAREN ARMSTRONG Date: 20/2/17.